

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6899 of 2004

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.N.PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

PATEL SARLABEN @ SHANTABEN MANGALBHAI

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 6899 of 2004
MR PRADEEP PATEL for Petitioner No. 1
MS DHARMISHTA RAVAL for Respondent No. 1
DS AFF.NOT FILED (R) for Respondent No. 1
RULE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 2
-

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.N.PATEL

Date of decision: 25/06/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, whereby, he seeks direction against the respondent authority, to carry out necessary change in the date of birth as well as in the name of the petitioner in the Passport, on the basis of the documents annexed at Annexures 'B' and 'D' with the memo of petition.

2. Learned Counsel appearing for the respondent authority submits that no error has been committed by the respondent authority and that the date of birth and the name mentioned in the Passport, was in accordance with the representation made by the petitioner.

3. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondent authority is directed to carry out necessary change in the date of birth as well as in the name of the petitioner in the Passport, after verifying the genuineness and the correctness of the documents which may be produced before it. As the error has been committed by the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to deposit a cost of Rupees Five Thousand with the respondent authority.

4. The petition is accordingly allowed to the aforesaid extent and Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(D. N. Patel, J.)

pravin/